

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,403	MAUER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R. Garland	2125	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Steven R. Garland.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Falcoff.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2006
Time: morning
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

15,34,35

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed to make certain changes to claims 15, 34, and 35 to place the application in better form for allowance. Mr. Falcoff agreed to the proposed changes. The examiner also requested that a terminal disclaimer be filed for the parent patents and the related copending application. Mr. Falcoff agreed to file the required terminal disclaimers for the copending application and the parent patents 09/862,688; 6,276,050; 6,502,008; and 7,024,270.